

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,156	10/28/2003	Paramjit Kahlon	OIC0097US	6587
60975 CAMPRELL S	7590 05/28/201 STEPHENSON LLP	EXAMINER		
11401 CENTU	RY OAKS TERRACE		OBEID, FAHD A	
BLDG. H, SUI AUSTIN, TX			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/28/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/696,156	KAHLON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
FAHD A. OBEID	3627		

•	Examiner	7.1.0					
	FAHD A. OBEID	3627					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Estensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 GR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the missimum statutory period v Failure to reply within the sat or extended period for reply will, by statute area of partners and the state of the state of the replacement term deligination. See 37 GR 1,704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05/03	<u>3/2010</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ГО-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).					
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau	и (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.					
A44b4/->							
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(DTO 412)					
Notice of References Cited (P10-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SB/00) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Application

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

 A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 05/03/2010 has been entered.

Preliminary Remarks

- This is in reply to communication filed on 05/03/2010.
- Claims 1-24 have been amended.
- Claims 1-24 are currently pending and have been examined.

Specification Objections

5. The amendment filed 05/03/2010 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: in claims 1 and 9 "the synchronizing depends in part on a characteristic of inventory location information, the characteristic allows a target inventory location record to be updated by an update, corresponding to a single inventory item, from a plurality of the plurality of source systems" and claim 4 "from each of the plurality of

Art Unit: 3627

source inventory location records, the extracting extracts less than all the source inventory location information".

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

7. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The applicant's amendment filed on 05/03/2010 contains the limitations: claims 1 and 9 recite "the synchronizing depends in part on a characteristic of inventory location information, the characteristic allows a target inventory location record to be updated by an update, corresponding to a single inventory item, from a plurality of the plurality of source systems"; claim 4 recites "from each of the plurality of source inventory location records, the extracting extracts less than all the source inventory location information" is considered new matter since it does not have any support in the specification.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 3627

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

 Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 9 recite the limitations "extracting the source inventory location information from a plurality of source inventory location records...etc."; "at least one record of the plurality of source ...etc."; "at least one other record of the plurality of source ...etc."; "updating the target inventory location record with the target inventory location information" are vague and indefinite. It is unclear and confusing which source inventory location record corresponds to the target inventory location records in order for the update to happen. Thus the limitations are not positively recited.

Claims 2 and 10 recite the limitation "using the target inventory location information in the target format to perform at least one computer-implemented act from a set of computer-implemented acts" is vague and indefinite. It is unclear what the "set of computer-implemented acts" are. Thus the limitations are not positively recited.

Claim 4 recites the limitation "from each of the plurality of source inventory location records, the extracting extracts less than all the source inventory location information" is vague and indefinite. It is unclear and confusing how the extracting extracts less than all the source inventory location information.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3627

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 11. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 12. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 13. Claims 1-4, 6-7, 9-12, and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coleman (US 5,708,828) in view of Balgeman (US 5,446,880), and further in view of Katz (US 2002/0178077).
- 14. <u>Regarding Claims 1-3 and 9-11:</u> Coleman discloses a computer implemented method comprising:
 - synchronizing existing target information with source information, wherein the existing target information is stored at a target system, the source information is stored at a

Art Unit: 3627

plurality of source systems, the plurality of source systems are ones of a plurality of computer systems, the target system is another of the plurality of computer systems, (abstract, figs.2B, 3, C1 L9-13);

- extracting the source information from a plurality of source records, wherein the
 extracting is performed by an integration server in response to a trigger, the trigger
 indicates that the synchronizing should be performed, the source information from each
 of the plurality of source records is in one of a plurality of source formats, and each one
 of the plurality of source formats corresponds to at least one of the plurality of source
 systems (abstract, figs.2B, 3, C1 L9-13);
- converting the source inventory location information into an intermediate format (abstract, figs.2B, 3, C1 L9-13);
- integrating the converted source information into integrated source information, wherein
 at least one record of the plurality of source records is from a first source system of the
 plurality of source systems, at least one other record of the plurality of source records is
 from a second source system of the plurality of source systems (abstract, figs.2B, 3, C1
 L9-13);
- converting the integrated source information into target inventory location information, wherein the target inventory location information is in a target format, and the target format corresponds to the target system (abstract, figs.2B, 3, Cl L9-13);

Coleman does not explicitly teach inventory location information, the synchronizing depends in part on a characteristic of inventory location information, and creating a new inventory location Art Unit: 3627

record in the target computerized inventory management system and updating an existing inventory location record in the target computerized inventory management system.

However, Balgeman does disclose the following:

- the synchronizing depends in part on a characteristic of inventory location information,
 the characteristic allows a target record to be updated by an update, corresponding to a
 single item, from a plurality of the plurality of source systems (C8 L54-60, claims 3, 6, 7,
 & 9);
- updating the target record with the target information, wherein the updating is performed
 by the integration server, the existing target information comprises the target information
 record, the target record is in the target format, and the target record corresponds to the
 each of the plurality of source records (C8 L54-60, claims 3, 6, 7, & 9);

While, Katz does teaches inventory location information (¶¶ 39, 42)

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Balgeman's and Katz's teachings in Coleman's "system for converting data from input data using first format to output data using second format" enabled, for the advantage of minimizing inventory management data conversions and to facilitate data exchanging between customers and suppliers in the automotive industry. Also, for the advantage of providing a communication system which provides flexibility by allowing individual nodes to utilize different databases and which automatically updates corresponding records at different databases with a minimum of burden on the users (Balgeman; C1 L66-67, C2 L1-2).

Art Unit: 3627

15. <u>Regarding Claims 4 and 12:</u> Coleman discloses a method of claim 1, wherein from each of the plurality of source inventory location records, the extracting extracts less than all the source inventory location information (abstract, figs.2B, 3, C1 L9-13).

- 16. <u>Regarding Claims 6, 20, and 21:</u> Coleman discloses a method of claim 5, wherein each of the plurality of address elements comprises: an address identifier element; an address base data element, wherein the address data cleansing data element includes a disable cleansing flag element; an address data cleansing data element; an address relationship data element; and an address custom data element (abstract, figs.2B, 3, Cl L9-13).
- 17. <u>Regarding Claims 7 and 22:</u> Coleman discloses a method of claim 6, wherein the address relationship data element comprises: an address effective end date element; an address occupancy type code element; an address effective start date element; an address type code element; and an address list of roles element (abstract, figs.2B, 3, Cl L9-13).
- 18. <u>Regarding Claims 5, 8, 13-19, and 23-24</u>: Coleman substantially discloses the claimed invention. However, Coleman does not appear to explicitly teach hierarchy of data elements includes a plurality of inventory location elements,

However, Katz disclose a method of claim 4, wherein the hierarchy of data elements includes a plurality of inventory location elements, wherein each of the plurality of inventory location elements includes: an identifier for identifying the inventory location element; a base data element for defining: a location description; a location name; and a location type code; a list of addresses element for defining a plurality of address elements from a party class; a list of

Application/Control Number: 10/696,156

Art Unit: 3627

related business units elements for defining a plurality of business units associated with the inventory, and wherein each of the plurality of business units associated with the inventory includes an identifier element; a list of related inventory locations for defining a plurality of related inventory locations; and a custom data element for defining customized attributes for the inventory (¶¶ 39, 42, 43, 46, 54).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Katz's teachings in Coleman's "system for converting data from input data using first format to output data using second format" enabled, for the advantage of minimizing inventory management data conversions and to facilitate data exchanging between customers and suppliers in the automotive industry.

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

20. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FAHD A. OBEID whose telephone number is (571)270-3324. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ryan Zeender can be reached on 571-272-6790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Fahd A Obeid/ Examiner, Art Unit 3627 May 26, 2010